



TECHNICAL NOTES
for the Cardiac Surgery Report

January
2017

Pennsylvania Health Care Cost Containment Council
Report Period: January 1, 2014 through March 31, 2016

225 Market Street, Suite 400, Harrisburg, PA 17101
Phone: (717) 232-6787
Fax: (717) 232-3821
www.phc4.org

Joe Martin, Executive Director

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Overview	1
Data Collection and Verification	2
Hospital and Cardiothoracic Surgeon Verification of Data.....	2
Study Population	4
Inclusion Criteria	4
Exclusion Criteria	4
Measures Reported	6
Number of Cases	6
Risk-Adjusted In-Hospital Mortality Rating.....	6
Risk-Adjusted 30-Day Readmission Rating	6
Case-Mix Adjusted Average Hospital Charge	6
Calendar Year 2014 Average Medicare Fee-for-Service Payment	7
Calendar Year 2014 Average Hospital Charge for Medicare Fee-for-Service Cases	7
Risk-Adjustment Methodology	8
Model Development	8
Calculating Statistical Ratings.....	10
Determining Actual Values	10
Determining Expected Values	10
Determining Statistical Ratings.....	11
Assignment of Statistical Ratings	11
Case-Mix Adjustment Methodology	13
Construction of Reference Database.....	13
Trim Methodology	14
Determining Actual Charges	14
Determining Expected Charges	14
Determining Case-Mix Adjusted Charges.....	14
 Data Tables	
Table 1. Statewide Utilization and Outcome Data	15
Table 2. Exclusion Data.....	17
Table 3. 30-Day Readmission Data	20
Table 4. In-Hospital Mortality Model.....	24
Table 5. 30-Day Readmission Model	25
 Appendices	
Appendix A. Clinically Complex Exclusion Definitions	A-1
Appendix B. ICD-9-CM Definitions for Reasons for Readmission.....	A-3
Appendix C. Potential Code-Based Risk Factors.....	A-8
Appendix D. Example of Logistic Regression and Calculating Statistical Ratings.....	A-9
Appendix E. Example of Case-Mix Adjustment.....	A-10

OVERVIEW

The Technical Notes serve as a technical supplement to the Pennsylvania Health Care Cost Containment Council's (PHC4) report on coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) and valve surgery for discharges from January 1, 2014 through March 31, 2016. This document describes the methodology and development of the report and includes information on statewide results, cases excluded from the analysis and risk-adjustment models.

- The analysis included adult patients age 30 or older who underwent a CABG procedure, a valve procedure or combined valve and CABG procedures in a Pennsylvania general acute care (GAC) hospital. Results are displayed for each of the following four procedure groups:
 - CABG without Valve
 - Total Valve (combines Valve without CABG and Valve with CABG procedure groups)
 - Valve without CABG
 - Valve with CABG

- Risk-adjusted measures for hospitals and surgeons with at least 30 cases are reported for:
 - In-hospital mortality (January 1, 2014 through March 31, 2016 data)
 - 30-day readmission with a principal diagnosis that indicated a heart-related condition, an infection or a complication. (To accommodate a transition in hospital coding requirements (from ICD-9-CM to ICD-10-CM) that became effective October 1, 2015, the readmission analysis was based on discharges from January 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015. September 2015 was used to identify 30-day readmissions for patients discharged in August 2015.)

- Average hospital charge (case-mix adjusted) is reported for hospitals with at least 11 cases in a particular procedure group (January 1, 2014 through March 31, 2016 data).

- Calendar year 2014 average Medicare payment is reported for hospitals with at least 11 cases in a particular procedure group. If the number of cases included in the payment analysis for either the Valve without CABG or the Valve with CABG procedure group is less than 11, payment data is only reported for the Total Valve procedure group. Calendar year 2014 is reported as this is the most recent Medicare payment data available.

- Calendar year 2014 average hospital charge (case-mix adjusted) is reported for the cases in the 2014 average Medicare payment measure. Average charge for procedure groups with fewer than 11 cases is not reported.

The rigorous methodology described in this document was developed to account for the differences among individual patients that had the potential to influence the outcome of CABG and/or valve surgery.

Statewide utilization and outcome data are displayed in Data Table 1.

DATA COLLECTION AND VERIFICATION

The data for the *Cardiac Surgery Report*, obtained from the inpatient UB-04 (Uniform Billing) form, was submitted electronically to PHC4 by Pennsylvania GAC hospitals that performed CABG and/or valve surgery primarily on adults. Federal hospitals were not included. The data included demographic information, hospital charges, and International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) or International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-10-CM) and Procedure Coding System (ICD-10-PCS) diagnosis and procedure codes. Hospitals also submitted laboratory test results and supplemental clinical data such as ejection fraction and percent blockage in a coronary artery.

Laboratory test results were submitted by hospitals to the Council for a select group of acute care inpatient records, including those used in the cardiac surgery analysis. Hospitals were required to submit the highest and/or lowest result(s) for a maximum of 29 laboratory tests as collected from patients during the initial period of their hospitalization. The requirements for submitting this data are specified elsewhere (refer to PHC4's *Laboratory Data Reporting Manual*, accessible at www.phc4.org). In brief, for patients admitted prior to 6:00 p.m., only laboratory results collected on Day 1 of the admission were to be submitted. For patients admitted after 6:00 p.m., results were to be submitted for tests collected on the day of admission (Day 1) through the next calendar day (Day 2). Only results of laboratory tests drawn prior to the start date and time of anesthesia for the first CABG and/or valve surgery (and within the laboratory test collection timeframe) were used in the cardiac surgery analysis.

Supplemental clinical data was submitted by hospitals to the Council for inpatient discharges of adult patients in which a CABG and/or valve surgery was performed. Hospitals were required to submit the following clinical data elements related to the first CABG and/or valve surgery of the admission: anesthesia start date and start time, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) class, the ASA emergency indicator, ejection fraction and percent stenosis in the coronary arteries and their branches. The requirements for submitting this data are specified elsewhere (refer to PHC4's *Cardiac Surgery Supplemental Clinical Data Reporting Manual*, accessible at www.phc4.org).

Hospitals submitted data to the Council on a quarterly basis (within 90 days from the last day of each quarter). Upon receipt of the data, verification was performed to assure data were submitted in a readable format. Extensive quality assurance checks were completed and laboratory data and supplemental clinical data submissions were matched to inpatient records. Error reports for UB-04 data were then generated and returned to each hospital with an opportunity to correct any problems. Similarly, laboratory test results were evaluated each quarter and summary reports indicating data anomalies were sent to each hospital, again with an opportunity to make corrections.

Hospital and Cardiothoracic Surgeon Verification of Data

Hospitals were asked to confirm the accuracy of discharge records, provide additional ICD-9-CM or ICD-10 diagnosis and procedure codes as appropriate and confirm that cases had the correct surgeon assignment. Surgeons were asked to perform a patient level review of the submitted records and then attest to the accuracy of the data and the surgeon assignment. Hospitals and/or surgeons had the opportunity to request special exclusions for cases in which the patient's outcome was most directly associated with conditions unrelated to the CABG/valve surgical episode or the care received during that hospitalization that were not accounted for through risk adjustment. The medical records were reviewed to determine whether special requests for exclusion would be granted. In addition, because of their importance as risk factors, hospitals and surgeons had the opportunity to submit medical records for cases in which cardiogenic shock

and/or acute renal failure were present at the time of or immediately prior to the first CABG and/or valve surgery. These records were reviewed to verify that the criteria for preoperative cardiogenic shock and/or preoperative acute renal failure were met. The requirements for submitting cases for medical record review are specified elsewhere (refer to PHC4's *Guide for Review and Attestation of Cardiac Surgery Data*, accessible at www.phc4.org).

Hospitals were given an opportunity to verify the average Medicare payment reported for their facilities prior to the public release of the information.

STUDY POPULATION

Inclusion Criteria

The study population included records for inpatients discharged from Pennsylvania GAC hospitals between January 1, 2014 and March 31, 2016 who underwent CABG and/or valve surgery as identified by the presence of an applicable ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-PCS procedure code(s) in either the principal or secondary procedure code positions of the discharge record. The codes that define the population are available here:

<http://www.phc4.org/reports/cabg/16/data/CardiacSurgeryReport2014-2016StudyPopulationDefinition.xlsx>.

The population included subgroups of patients referred to as procedure groups. If a patient underwent multiple CABG/valve surgeries during the same admission, **assignment to one of the first three procedure groups was based on the first CABG and/or valve surgery of the admission** as shown below. Results are reported for each of these three procedure groups and for a fourth procedure group, which combined results for Valve without CABG and Valve with CABG.

1. **CABG without Valve:** patients who underwent at least one CABG procedure and no valve procedures on the same day.
2. **Valve without CABG:** patients who underwent at least one valve procedure and no CABG procedures on the same day.
3. **Valve with CABG:** patients who underwent at least one valve procedure **and** one CABG procedure on the same day.
4. **Total Valve:** combined results for Valve without CABG and Valve with CABG.

Exclusion Criteria

Cases meeting certain criteria were excluded from the outcome analyses. Standard exclusions were applied to the in-hospital mortality analysis and consisted of the following:

- Patients less than 30 years of age
- Patients who left against medical advice
- Clinically complex cases¹

Standard exclusions and exclusions particular to the measure of interest were applied to 30-day readmission and average hospital charge analyses.

Additional exclusions for 30-day readmission analysis included:

- Patients who died during the hospitalization in which the surgery was performed.
- Cases with invalid data (social security number, date of birth or sex), which could not be linked to subsequent hospitalizations.
- Out-of-state residents, because these patients could undergo a CABG and/or valve surgery in a Pennsylvania hospital, return to their state of residence and be readmitted to

¹ Clinically complex cases included cases with one of the ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS codes in the following file <http://www.phc4.org/reports/cabg/16/data/CardiacSurgeryReport2014-2016ClinicallyComplexExclusions.xlsx>. Additional exclusions included cases *not* in the study MS-DRGs (See Appendix A: MS-DRGs included in the study) and cases granted special request for exclusion.

a hospital in their home state. As such, readmission data would not be available for these patients.

- Patients who were discharged in September 2015 were excluded. September 2015 was used to identify 30-day readmissions for patients discharged in August 2015 due to transition to ICD-10-CM.

Additional exclusions for average hospital charge included:

- Patients with invalid or missing charges, including cases with charges that were less than \$10,000.
- Cases in tracheostomy Diagnostic Related Group (MS-DRG) 003 and Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 5.
- Cases in low volume MS-DRGs, including MS-DRG groups when a particular combination of timeframe/procedure group/PA region/MS-DRG group had fewer than 10 cases.
- Charge outliers, which were determined using the “+/- 3.0 interquartile range” method (after accounting for differences in charges by timeframe, procedure group, PA region and MS-DRG group).

Data Table 2 displays exclusion data for each of these outcome measures.

MEASURES REPORTED

Number of Cases

The number of cases (after standard exclusions were removed) is reported for hospitals and surgeons for each procedure group.

Risk-Adjusted In-Hospital Mortality Rating

In-hospital mortality was identified in the patient discharge record as a discharge status of “20.” The rating identifies whether the hospital’s or surgeon’s observed mortality rate was significantly higher than, significantly lower than or not significantly different than expected based on patient risk factors. This measure is reported for each hospital and surgeon with 30 or more cases in a particular procedure group.

To aid the reader in understanding the rating, the report displays Actual Percent and Expected Range along with the rating.

Actual Percent is the percent (rate) of patients who died (In-Hospital Mortality) or who were readmitted (30-Day Readmission).

Expected Range is the range (upper and lower limits) of the percent of patients one could reasonably expect to die (In-Hospital Mortality) or be readmitted (30-Day Readmission) after accounting for patient risk.

See “Calculating Statistical Ratings” for detail of the method used to determine the rating.

Risk-Adjusted 30-Day Readmission Rating

A hospital readmission was defined as a rehospitalization to a Pennsylvania GAC hospital within 1 to 30 days of discharge from the hospitalization in which the CABG/valve surgery was performed. A readmission was counted only if the patient was readmitted with a principal diagnosis that indicated a heart-related condition, an infection or a complication. (Data Table 3 displays the number of readmissions for each category. Appendix B lists the diagnosis categories and ICD-9-CM codes included in the readmission analysis.)

A hospitalization that resulted in more than one readmission within 30 days was counted only once even though it resulted in multiple readmissions. If, over the study period, a patient had multiple discharges for CABG/valve surgery, each discharge was independently investigated to determine whether it had a readmission within 30 days of that discharge.

To accommodate a transition in hospital coding requirements (from ICD-9-CM to ICD-10-CM) that became effective October 1, 2015, the readmission analysis was based on discharges from January 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015. September 2015 was used to identify 30-day readmissions for patients discharged in August 2015.

The rating identifies whether the hospital’s or surgeon’s observed readmission rate was significantly higher than, significantly lower than or not significantly different than expected based on patient risk factors. This measure is reported for each hospital and surgeon with 30 or more cases in a particular procedure group.

Case-Mix Adjusted Average Hospital Charge

The amount a hospital bills for a patient’s care is known as the charge. The charge includes the facility fee for the entire hospitalization during which the CABG/valve surgery was performed (not just the treatment associated with surgery). It does not include professional fees (e.g., physician fees) or other additional post-discharge costs, such as rehabilitation treatment, long-term care and/or home health care. The average charges reported were trimmed and case-mix adjusted. Average charges are reported for each hospital with 11 or more cases in a particular procedure group.

Calendar Year 2014 Average Medicare Fee-for-Service Payment

The average Medicare payment is for Medicare fee-for-service (FFS) cases only (Pennsylvania residents) and is for calendar year 2014, as this was the most recent Medicare payment data available.

The Medicare payment data for 2014 was provided to PHC4 by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) and then matched by PHC4 to the 2014 cardiac surgery cases which 1) met the study population criteria (after standard exclusions) and 2) Medicare FFS was the primary payer per the UB-04 data reported by hospitals to PHC4. The average Medicare payment was trimmed for outliers as appropriate. The average payment was calculated using the dollar amount that CMS provided for the Medicare Part A hospital insurance fund payment. Patient liabilities (e.g., coinsurance and deductible dollar amounts) were not included. Also not included were payments from Medicare Advantage plans (Medicare HMOs).

The average payment was calculated by summing the Medicare FFS payment amounts for the cases in a particular procedure group and dividing the sum by the number of cases in that procedure group.

Average Medicare payment (along with the number of cases included in the average payment) is reported for hospitals only. To meet current CMS privacy guidelines, average payments (and the number of cases included in the average payment) are only displayed for procedure groups with 11 or more cases. If the number of cases included in the payment analysis for either the Valve without CABG or the Valve with CABG procedure group is less than 11, the number of cases and average payment for both of these procedure groups is not reported.

Hospitals were given an opportunity to verify the average Medicare payment reported for their facilities prior to the public release of the information.

Calendar Year 2014 Average Hospital Charge for Medicare Fee-for-Service Cases

Case-mix adjusted average charge is reported for the Medicare cases included in the 2014 average Medicare payment. While the same cases included in the average Medicare payment were included in the charge analysis, the final case-mix adjusted average charge may include fewer cases as a result of exclusions specific to the charge analysis. Average charges are not reported when payment information is not reported for a particular procedure group or when there are fewer than 11 cases in the average charge analysis for a particular procedure group.

RISK-ADJUSTMENT METHODOLOGY

In order to report fair comparisons among hospitals and surgeons, regression techniques were used to construct “risk models” for predicting the risk of mortality and readmission. Each model was a mathematical formula used to ultimately predict a patient’s probability of death or readmission based on relevant risk factors. Cases with these risk factors were given more “credit” in the calculation, leading to a higher predicted probability of mortality or readmission. A hospital’s or surgeon’s predicted rate was the average predicted probability across all its discharges in a particular procedure group. The ratings indicate whether the hospital’s or the surgeon’s mortality or readmission rates were within the expected range or higher or lower than the expected range, taking into account the risk factors that were included in the risk-adjustment models.

Model Development

For modeling in-hospital mortality, the reference database included CABG/valve discharges from January 1, 2014 through March 31, 2016 (after exclusions). For 30-day readmission, the reference database included CABG/valve discharges from January 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015 (after exclusions). September 2015 was used to identify 30-day readmissions for patients discharged in August 2015 due to transition to ICD-10-CM.

Identifying potential risk factors. The first step in building the models was to identify potential risk factors, that is, factors that potentially contributed to mortality and readmission. These factors were identified through their importance in past models, review of research literature and consideration of high-risk populations. Types of risk factors included assigned procedure group (i.e., CABG without Valve, Valve without CABG and Valve with CABG), patient demographics, socioeconomic factors, clinically derived data (supplemental clinical data, results of medical record reviews for preoperative cardiogenic shock and preoperative acute renal failure, and laboratory test results), and diagnoses and procedures identified by ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS codes (see Appendix C for code definitions).

Using the reference database, potential risk factors were subject to univariate analysis to determine which, because of their potential to predict the event of interest, should be tested for inclusion in the model. Variables were constructed and analyzed as linear (continuous), categorical and binary as appropriate. For some factors multiple forms of variable construction were analyzed to determine which approach best fit the data (provided the highest model likelihood). For example,

- Patient age was tested as a linear, linear spline with up to two knots or age square factor. The linear and linear spline with one knot approach yielded the best results for both the mortality model and the readmission model for this report.
- Variables representing the percent blockage in a coronary artery were analyzed in all formats—linear, categorical and binary. Performance of the various variable constructions was compared and the form of the variable with the highest likelihood of predicting the event was selected for testing in the model.

When constructing categorical variables, data was partitioned into a maximum of five categories as appropriate:

- For variables with continuous data (e.g., laboratory test results) one category represented “typical” results with additional categories representative of abnormal results generally associated with increased risk. (In the final model, all records in a specified abnormal category would receive the same amount of credit, regardless of the value within the category.) Records with missing values were combined with records in the typical category.

- For ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS code-based categorical variables, one category represented the absence of the risk factor and additional categories represented the presence of diagnosis/procedure codes indicating increased risk for that particular condition (e.g., no diabetes, diabetes with complications and diabetes without complications).

Categorical and binary variables were selected for testing in the model based on the following criteria:

- Minimum volume: For categorical variables, each category represented at least one percent of the total volume. For binary variables, cases with the risk factor were required to represent at least one percent of the total volume. Exceptions were made to this criterion when a variable had particular clinical relevance to the outcome.
- Order of risk: For categorical variables, categories farther away from the “typical” category were required to have rates of increasing risk (e.g., when the typical category was defined as level A, categories B, C, D and E were required to have increasingly higher rates of risk). For binary variables, cases with the risk factor were required to have a higher rate of risk than cases without the risk factor.
- Significance: Variables were required to have significance ($p < 0.10$) and, for categorical variables, meet the Schwarz criterion. Exceptions were made to these criteria when a variable had particular clinical relevance to the outcome.

To avoid developing models that were “overfitted,” a statistical criterion called the Schwarz criterion was used. This application avoided the problem of overfitting by including a penalty value for each factor during: 1) the selection of variables to test and 2) as a variable was added to the model (see “*Model selection*” below). In this way, the best endpoint for the model build (the point in which no more factors should be added to the model) could be determined. However, as noted above, exceptions were made to the Schwarz criterion for factors identified in the research literature as having particular clinical relevance to the outcome.

Model selection. Using binary logistic regression, risk factors selected for testing were added to the model in the following order: 1) procedure group, patient demographics (gender, race/ethnicity, age) and socioeconomic factors (poverty rate, education and percent not speaking English very well), 2) supplemental clinical data, 3) record review results, 4) laboratory test results, then 5) ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS code-based variables. All factors within a risk factor type were evaluated before considering factors from the next type.

Risk factors were considered statistically significant in a model if they met the $p < 0.10$ significance criterion and the Schwartz criterion, and indicated an increase in the risk of the event (in-hospital mortality or 30-day readmission). However, risk factors were evaluated for relevance by considering both mathematical (statistical significance) and clinical perspectives (clinically important populations).

Bootstrap validation. Once the model variables were chosen, the model was validated using the bootstrap technique to evaluate the stability of each factor in the prepared model. Using this technique, five hundred sample datasets were randomly generated from the reference database. Records were allowed to appear multiple times in the sample datasets if they were selected repeatedly. The prepared model was then fit to each sample dataset to determine the percent of sample models in which each factor maintained significance ($p < 0.10$). Risk factors at or above a 70% cutoff and those with particular clinical relevance to the outcome (even if below the 70% cutoff) were retained in the final model. This same approach was used to eliminate any factor that did not have a consistently positive numeric value/coefficient (reflective of an increased risk) or a consistently negative coefficient (reflective of a decreased risk) in at least 70% of the sample models. (See the “Coefficients and Odds Ratios” section for a description of model coefficients.)

Measure of Model Adequacy. The c-statistic was used to measure model adequacy. The c-statistic, the measure of “goodness of fit” used to describe a logistic regression model, is a common measure for models with binary dependent variables. For binary outcomes, the c-statistic is defined as the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve.¹ The c-statistic ranges between 0.5 and 1.0, with higher values associated with better discrimination, and can be expressed as a percent ranging from 50% to 100%. In some respects, the c-statistic is similar to the R^2 (Coefficient of Determination) commonly used in linear regression. Both the c-statistic and R^2 approach 1.0 for models that perfectly discriminate. However, unlike R^2 , the c-statistic is not dependent on the frequency of the outcome. The c-statistics for the in-hospital mortality and 30-day readmission models are listed in Data Tables 4 and 5, respectively.

Coefficients and Odds Ratios. Coefficients are mathematical values derived from the regression analysis that correspond to a given level of risk. They are used in the mathematical formula that calculates a patient’s overall predicted risk of the event (mortality or readmission). The odds ratios are used to interpret the impact of the risk factors on the probability of the event. For a binary variable, the odds ratio is the change in the odds for a patient with the risk factor compared to a patient without it. For example, in the in-hospital mortality model, the odds ratio for ASA Class 5 is 2.395, meaning that a patient with ASA Class 5 was more than twice as likely to die during the hospital admission than patients in ASA Class 1, 2, 3 or 4. The coefficients and odds ratios for each risk factor included in the mortality and readmission models are listed in Data Tables 4 and 5, respectively.

Calculating Statistical Ratings

Separate analyses were performed to determine, for each hospital and surgeon, the actual percent of in-hospital mortality and the actual percent of 30-day readmission with a principal diagnosis that indicated a heart-related condition, an infection or a complication. For mortality and readmission, significance tests were conducted to determine whether the difference between a hospital’s or surgeon’s actual and expected values was too large to be attributed solely to chance. These results were displayed as ratings. Ratings were reported for hospitals and surgeons with 30 or more cases in a particular procedure group.

Determining Actual Values

In-Hospital Mortality Percent	This percent was determined by dividing the total number of hospitalizations in which the patient died by the number of hospitalizations in the mortality analysis for a particular procedure group.
30-Day Readmission Percent	This percent was determined by dividing the number of hospitalizations for which the patient was readmitted at least once (with a principal diagnosis that indicated a heart-related condition, an infection or a complication ²) to any Pennsylvania GAC hospital within 1 to 30 days of discharge, by the total number of hospitalizations included in the readmission analysis for a particular procedure group.

Determining Expected Values

The final risk models estimated the relative effects (β_n) that each of the risk factors had on the relevant outcome value for each hospitalization. The model equations took the following form:

¹ Hanley, J. A., & McNeil, B. J. (1982). The meaning and use of the area under a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. *Radiology*, 143(1), 29-36.

² See Appendix B for definitions.

$$\beta X = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \dots + \beta_n x_n$$

where:

β_n = the relevant model coefficient (β_0 is the intercept)

x_n = the value of the risk factor for a hospitalization

These models were then used to calculate the predicted probability of death or readmission for each individual hospitalization (after exclusions). The risk factor values (X) were multiplied by the model coefficients (β) and summed to determine the value βX for each hospitalization.

Using logistic regression modeling, the predicted value was calculated as:

$$p = \frac{e^{\beta X}}{1 + e^{\beta X}}$$

where $e \approx 2.7182818285$

The expected value for an individual hospital or surgeon was the average of these predicted values for all hospitalizations for particular hospital or surgeon.

Determining Statistical Ratings

Statistical evaluation was used to determine whether the difference between a hospital's or surgeon's observed and expected values was *too large* to be attributed solely to chance. Significance tests (using test statistics and p-values as described below) were performed to account for random variation.

The test statistic was calculated using the following equations:

$$z = (\text{Actual} - \text{Expected}) / \text{Standard Deviation}$$

With standard deviation being calculated as follows:

Step 1: Compute the estimated variance of the event for each patient (VARPAT):

$$\text{VARPAT} = (p) (1-p)$$

Step 2: Calculate the Standard Deviation of Mortality

$$\text{SUMVAR} = \text{sum of VARPAT across all cases}$$

$$\text{Standard Deviation of Mortality} = \text{square root of SUMVAR}$$

A two-tailed p-value was calculated using the test statistic above as a normal z-score.

Assignment of Statistical Ratings

Differences between actual and expected values were considered to be statistically significant when p-values were <0.05. A statistical rating of higher than expected or lower than expected was assigned to each hospital or surgeon if the difference between what was observed and what was expected in a particular procedure group was statistically significant.

- If the p-value was <0.05 and the test statistic was <0, then the conclusion was made that the difference between the expected and actual number of events was statistically significant and fewer than the expected number of events had occurred. The hospital or surgeon was assigned the symbol "○" (as shown in the cardiac surgery report).

- If the p-value was <0.05 and the test statistic was >0 , then the conclusion was made that the difference between the expected and actual number of events was statistically significant and more than the expected number of events had occurred. The hospital or surgeon was assigned the symbol “●” (as shown in the cardiac surgery report).
- If the calculated p-value was greater than or equal to 0.05, then the conclusion was made that the difference between the expected and actual number of events was *not* statistically significant. In this case the hospital or surgeon was assigned the symbol “⊙” (as shown in the cardiac surgery report).

See Appendix D for an example of logistic regression and calculation of statistical ratings.

CASE-MIX ADJUSTMENT METHODOLOGY

Charges were adjusted to account for differences in charges across regions of Pennsylvania and hospital variation in the mix of cases across MS-DRG groups. Average charges were trimmed for outliers and case-mix adjusted for each of the three assigned procedure groups (i.e., CABG without Valve, Valve without CABG and Valve with CABG) separately. A case-mix adjusted charge is reported for hospitals only, for each procedure group in which the hospital had 11 or more cases in the analysis after all exclusions were satisfied.

Construction of Reference Database

After standard exclusions and cases with invalid or missing charges, cases in tracheostomy MS-DRG (003) and MDC 5, and cases in low volume MS-DRGs were removed, the reference database was constructed by assigning each case to the appropriate timeframe/procedure group/PA region/MS-DRG group combination based on the hospital's geographic location and the MS-DRG group assignment for the case. Then cases in timeframe/procedure group/PA region/MS-DRG group combinations with less than 10 cases were excluded. Then trimming was performed.

Patients who underwent CABG without valve procedures were comprised of the following MS-DRG groups:

MS-DRG Group 1	MS-DRG 231	Coronary Bypass with PTCA with MCC
	MS-DRG 232	Coronary Bypass with PTCA without MCC
MS-DRG Group 2	MS-DRG 233	Coronary Bypass with Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
	MS-DRG 234	Coronary Bypass with Cardiac Catheterization without MCC
MS-DRG Group 3	MS-DRG 228	Other Cardiothoracic Procedures with MCC
	MS-DRG 229	Other Cardiothoracic Procedures with CC
	MS-DRG 230	Other Cardiothoracic Procedures without CC/MCC
MS-DRG Group 4	MS-DRG 235	Coronary Bypass without Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
	MS-DRG 236	Coronary Bypass without Cardiac Catheterization without MCC

Patients who underwent valve procedures with or without CABG procedures were comprised of the following MS-DRG groups:

MS-DRG Group 5	MS-DRG 216	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures with Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
	MS-DRG 217	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures with Cardiac Catheterization with CC
	MS-DRG 218	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures with Cardiac Catheterization without CC/MCC
MS-DRG Group 6	MS-DRG 219	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures without Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
	MS-DRG 220	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures without Cardiac Catheterization with CC
	MS-DRG 221	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures without Cardiac Catheterization without CC/MCC

Trim Methodology

Trimming was used to remove outlier charges from the study population. Identification of outliers eliminates extreme values that may have a significant and unrepresentative impact on the average.

Since charges varied dramatically among regions, upper and lower trim points were calculated at the regional level for each MS-DRG group within each procedure group for each timeframe. Cases with charges that were below the lower trim point or above the upper trim point were excluded from further analysis.

For this analysis, upper and lower trim points were calculated using the “+/- 3.0 interquartile range” method. This non-parametric methodology was used because, historically, the distribution for charges does not follow a normal “bell-shaped” pattern.

Trim points were determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q1 &= \text{the first quartile (25th percentile total charge) of all patient records from the comparative database in a particular category} \\
 Q3 &= \text{the third quartile (75th percentile total charge) of all patient records from the comparative database in a particular category} \\
 IQR &= Q3 - Q1 \\
 \text{Lower Trim Point} &= Q1 - (3.0 \times IQR) \\
 \text{Upper Trim Point} &= Q3 + (3.0 \times IQR)
 \end{aligned}$$

Determining Actual Charges

The actual average charge (Average ActChg) was determined as the average (arithmetic mean) charge for the hospitalizations included in the hospital’s charge analysis for a particular procedure group.

Determining Expected Charges

The expected charge (ExpChg) for a hospitalization was equal to the average charge for all hospitalizations in that particular region/MS-DRG group combination for a particular procedure group and timeframe of discharge.

The hospital’s expected charge was determined as the average (arithmetic mean) of the expected charges for the hospitalizations included in the hospital’s charge analysis for a particular procedure group:

$$\text{Average ExpChg} = \frac{\sum \text{ExpChg}}{n}$$

Determining Case-Mix Adjusted Charges

The case-mix adjusted charge was calculated by dividing the average actual charge (Average ActChg) by the average expected charge (Average ExpChg) for the hospital and then multiplying this quantity by the average charge for the hospital’s region for the relevant procedure group:

$$\frac{\text{Average ActChg}}{\text{Average ExpChg}} (\text{Average Actual Charge for a particular region})$$

See Appendix E for an example of how case-mix adjusted charges were computed.

DATA TABLES

TABLE 1. STATEWIDE UTILIZATION AND OUTCOME DATA

Table 1A. In-Hospital Mortality

January 1, 2014 to March 31, 2016 Data			
	Cases	In-Hospital Mortality	
	#	#	%
Total cases	29,578	598	2.0%
CABG without Valve	16,641	254	1.5%
Total Valve	12,937	344	2.7%
Valve without CABG	8,618	155	1.8%
Valve with CABG	4,319	189	4.4%

Table 1B. 30-Day Readmission

January 1, 2014 to August 31, 2015 Data*			
	Cases	30-Day Readmission	
	#	#	%
Total cases	19,372	2,270	11.7%
CABG without Valve	11,024	1,126	10.2%
Total Valve	8,348	1,144	13.7%
Valve without CABG	5,523	733	13.3%
Valve with CABG	2,825	411	14.5%

Table 1C. Average Hospital Charge

January 1, 2014 to March 31, 2016 Data		
	# Cases	Actual Average Charge
Total cases	28,295	\$191,498
CABG without Valve	15,942	\$168,627
Total Valve	12,353	\$221,013
Valve without CABG	8,259	\$207,548
Valve with CABG	4,094	\$248,178

* The readmission analysis included discharges from January 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015. September 2015 data was used to identify 30-day readmissions for patients discharged in August 2015 due to transition to ICD10-CM.

DATA TABLES

Table 1D. Medicare Payment with Average Charge Cases

January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014 Data		
	# Cases	Actual Average Medicare FFS Payment
Total cases	3,420	\$37,881
CABG without Valve	1,853	\$32,499
Total Valve	1,567	\$44,246
Valve without CABG	956	\$43,206
Valve with CABG	611	\$45,873
<hr/>		
	# Cases	Actual Average Charge for Medicare Cases
Total cases	3,221	\$188,246
CABG without Valve	1,760	\$166,938
Total Valve	1,461	\$213,914
Valve without CABG	905	\$205,597
Valve with CABG	556	\$227,452

DATA TABLES

TABLE 2. EXCLUSION DATA

Table 2A. In-Hospital Mortality Exclusions

January 1, 2014 to March 31, 2016 Data				
	Cases		In-Hospital Mortality	
	#	%	#	%
Total cases prior to exclusions	34,487	100.0%	943	2.7%
<i>Exclusions:</i>				
• Patients <30 years of age	291	0.8%	8	2.7%
• Patients who left against medical advice	31	0.1%	0	0.0%
• Clinically complex cases ¹	4,587	13.3%	337	7.3%
<i>Total exclusions</i>	<i>4,909</i>	<i>14.2%</i>	<i>345</i>	<i>7.0%</i>
Total cases remaining in analysis	29,578	85.8%	598	2.0%

Table 2B. 30-Day Readmission Exclusions

January 1, 2014 to September 30, 2015 Data				
	Cases		30-Day Readmission	
	#	%	#	%
Total cases prior to exclusions	27,033	100.0%	–	–
<i>Exclusions:</i>				
• Patients <30 years of age	230	0.9%	–	–
• Patients who left against medical advice	21	0.1%	–	–
• Clinically complex cases ¹	3,649	13.5%	–	–
• Patients who died during hospitalization in which surgery was performed	465	1.7%	–	–
• Cases with invalid data ²	383	1.4%	–	–
• Out-of state residents ³	1,977	7.3%	–	–
• September 2015 discharges ⁴	936	3.5%	–	–
<i>Total exclusions</i>	<i>7,661</i>	<i>28.3%</i>	<i>–</i>	<i>–</i>
Total cases remaining in analysis	19,372	71.7%	2,270	11.7

¹ Clinically complex cases included cases with one of the ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS codes in the following file: <http://www.phc4.org/reports/cabg/16/data/CardiacSurgeryReport2014-2016ClinicallyComplexExclusions.xlsx>. Additional exclusions included cases *not* in the study MS-DRGs (See Appendix A: MS-DRGs included in the study) and cases granted special request for exclusion.

² Cases with invalid data (social security number, date of birth or sex) could not be linked to subsequent hospitalizations.

³ Out-of-state residents were excluded because such patients could undergo a CABG and/or valve surgery in a Pennsylvania hospital, return to their state of residence and be readmitted to a hospital in their home state. Therefore, readmission data would not be available for these patients.

⁴ Patients who were discharged in September 2015 were excluded. September 2015 was used to identify 30-day readmissions for patients discharged in August 2015 due to transition to ICD-10-CM.

DATA TABLES

TABLE 2. EXCLUSION DATA CONTINUED

Table 2C. Average Hospital Charge Exclusions

January 1, 2014 to March 31, 2016 Data			
	Cases		Average Charge
	#	%	
Total cases after in-hospital mortality exclusions	29,578	100.0%	\$212,844
<i>Additional exclusions:</i>			
• Patients with invalid or missing charges ¹	0	0.0%	-
• Cases in tracheostomy MS-DRG ²	521	1.8%	\$778,484
• Cases in low volume MS-DRGs ³	253	0.9%	\$548,042
• Cases that were charge outliers ⁴	509	1.7%	\$653,894
Total exclusions	1,283	4.3%	-
Total cases remaining in analysis	28,295	95.7%	\$191,498
January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014 Data			
	Cases		Average Charge
	#	%	
Total cases after in-hospital mortality exclusions	13,225	100.0%	\$206,378
<i>Additional exclusions:</i>			
• Patients with invalid or missing charges ¹	0	0.0%	-
• Cases in tracheostomy MS-DRG ²	221	1.7%	\$756,792
• Cases in low volume MS-DRGs ³	109	0.8%	\$602,278
• Cases that were charge outliers ⁴	218	1.6%	\$585,231
Total exclusions	548	4.1%	-
Total cases remaining in analysis	12,677	95.9%	\$186,864
January 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016 Data			
	Cases		Average Charge
	#	%	
Total cases after in-hospital mortality exclusions	16,353	100.0%	\$218,073
<i>Additional exclusions:</i>			
• Patients with invalid or missing charges ¹	0	0.0%	-
• Cases in tracheostomy MS-DRG ²	300	1.8%	\$794,463
• Cases in low volume MS-DRGs ³	144	0.9%	\$506,989
• Cases that were charge outliers ⁴	291	1.8%	\$705,332
Total exclusions	735	4.5%	-
Total cases remaining in analysis	15,618	95.5%	\$195,259

¹ Invalid/missing charges included cases with charges that were less than \$10,000.² Tracheostomy cases were assigned to MS-DRG 003 and MDC 5.³ MS-DRGs with low volume, including MS-DRG groups when a particular combination of timeframe/procedure group/PA region/MS-DRG group had fewer than 10 cases.⁴ Charge outliers were determined using the "+/- 3.0 interquartile range" method—after accounting for differences in charges by timeframe/procedure group/PA region/ MS-DRG group.

DATA TABLES

Table 2D. Average Charge Exclusions for Medicare Payment Cases

January, 2014 to December 31, 2014 Data			
	Cases		Actual Average Charge for Medicare Cases
	#	%	
Total cases included in 2014 Medicare payment study	3,420	100.0%	\$207,449
<i>Additional exclusions:</i>			
• Patients with invalid or missing charges ¹	0	0.0%	–
• Cases in tracheostomy MS-DRG ²	63	1.8%	\$718,754
• Cases in low volume MS-DRGs ³	87	2.5%	\$322,583
• Cases that were charge outliers ⁴	49	1.4%	\$607,947
<i>Total exclusions</i>	199	5.8%	–
Total cases remaining in analysis	3,221	94.2%	\$188,246

¹ Invalid/missing charges included cases with charges that were less than \$10,000.

² Tracheostomy cases were assigned to MS-DRG 003 and MDC 5.

³ MS-DRGs with low volume, including MS-DRG groups when a particular combination of timeframe/procedure group/PA region/MS-DRG group had fewer than 10 cases.

⁴ Charge outliers were determined using the "+/- 3.0 interquartile range" method—after accounting for differences in charges by timeframe/procedure group/PA region/ MS-DRG group.

DATA TABLES

TABLE 3. 30-DAY READMISSION DATA

N = 2,270

	#	%
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	1,092	48.1
Cardiac Dysrhythmias	337	14.8
Heart Block	14	0.6
Paroxysmal Tachycardia	15	0.7
Atrial Fibrillation and Atrial Flutter	258	11.4
Ventricular Fibrillation and Ventricular Flutter	7	0.3
Premature Heart Beats	0	0.0
Other Cardiac Dysrhythmias	43	1.9
Heart Failure	387	17.0
Functional Disturbances Follow Cardiac Surgery (Postcardiotomy Syndrome)	33	1.5
Hypertension and Hypotension	31	1.4
Hypertension	2	0.1
Hypotension	29	1.3
Myocardial Infarction and Ischemia	57	2.5
Acute Myocardial Infarction, Initial Episode	47	2.1
Acute Myocardial Infarction, Unspecified or Subsequent Episode	0	0.0
Other Forms of Myocardial Ischemia	10	0.4
Angina Pectoris and Chest Pain	30	1.3
Atherosclerosis	33	1.5
Coronary Atherosclerosis	23	1.0
Other Atherosclerosis	10	0.4
Heart Aneurysm and Dissection	0	0.0
Endocarditis, Myocarditis and Pericarditis	51	2.2
Heart Valve Disease	4	0.2
Mitral Valve Disease	2	0.1
Aortic Valve Disease	2	0.1
Tricuspid Valve Disease	0	0.0
Pulmonary Valve Disease	0	0.0
Multiple Valve Disease	0	0.0
Other Endocardial Structure Disease	0	0.0
Cardiomyopathies	0	0.0
Other Aneurysm and Dissection	6	0.3
Aortic Aneurysm and Dissection	3	0.1
Other Arterial Aneurysm	3	0.1
Other Arterial Dissection	0	0.0
Arterial Embolism and Thrombosis	5	0.2
Abdominal and Thoracic Aorta	1	<0.1

DATA TABLES

TABLE 3. 30-DAY READMISSION DATA

N = 2,270

	#	%
Arteries of the Extremities	2	0.1
Other Arteries Excluding Precerebral and Cerebral Arteries	2	0.1
Venous Embolism and Thrombosis	27	1.2
Lower Extremity Venous Embolism and Thrombosis	26	1.1
Renal Vein Embolism and Thrombosis	0	0.0
Other Venous Embolism and Thrombosis	1	<0.1
Phlebitis and Thrombophlebitis	1	<0.1
Lower Extremity Phlebitis and Thrombophlebitis	1	<0.1
Upper Extremity Phlebitis and Thrombophlebitis	0	0.0
Other Vessel Phlebitis and Thrombophlebitis	0	0.0
Occlusion and Stenosis	8	0.4
Precerebral Artery Occlusion and Stenosis	0	0.0
Cerebral Artery Occlusion and Stenosis	7	0.3
Retinal Artery Occlusion and Visual Loss	1	<0.1
Stroke	80	3.5
Ischemic Stroke	57	2.5
Hemorrhagic Stroke	4	0.2
Transient Cerebral Ischemia	18	0.8
Postoperative Stroke	1	<0.1
Other Diseases and Symptoms of the Circulatory System	2	0.1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	235	10.4
Pulmonary Embolism and Infarction	64	2.8
Pulmonary Embolism and Infarction	44	1.9
Postoperative Pulmonary Embolism and Infarction	20	0.9
Pleural Effusion and Atelectasis	113	5.0
Pneumothorax	7	0.3
Pneumothorax	1	<0.1
Postoperative Pneumothorax	6	0.3
Pulmonary Edema	1	<0.1
Acute Respiratory Failure	32	1.4
Other Diseases and Symptoms of the Respiratory System	18	0.8
NERVOUS SYSTEM	30	1.3
Encephalopathies	6	0.3
Cerebral Edema and Brain Compression	0	0.0
Anoxic Brain Damage	0	0.0
Coma and Stupor	1	<0.1

DATA TABLES

TABLE 3. 30-DAY READMISSION DATA

N = 2,270

	#	%
Postoperative Pain	6	0.3
Other Diseases and Symptoms of the Nervous System	17	0.7
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	117	5.2
Ischemic Bowel and Vascular Insufficiency of the Intestine	7	0.3
Intestinal Obstruction and Ileus	9	0.4
Ulceration, Bleeding and Perforation of the Digestive System	77	3.4
Acute Liver Failure	3	0.1
Other Diseases and Symptoms of the Digestive System	21	0.9
URINARY SYSTEM	60	2.6
Acute Glomerulonephritis and Pyelonephritis	0	0.0
Nephrotic Syndrome	0	0.0
Acute Renal Failure	51	2.2
Other Diseases and Symptoms of the Urinary System	9	0.4
COMPLICATIONS OF SURGICAL AND MEDICAL CARE	212	9.3
Mechanical Complication of Cardiac Device, Implant and Graft	4	0.2
Mechanical Complication of Cardiac Pacemaker and AICD	1	<0.1
Mechanical Complication of Heart Valve Prosthesis	2	0.1
Mechanical Complication of Coronary Artery Bypass Graft	1	<0.1
Other and Unspecified Mechanical Complication	0	0.0
Other Complication of Internal Prosthetic Device, Implant and Graft	16	0.7
Other Complication of Heart Valve Prosthesis	5	0.2
Other Complication of Other Cardiac Device, Implant and Graft	11	0.5
Other Complication of Vascular Device, Implant and Graft	0	0.0
Shock	2	0.1
Postoperative Shock	0	0.0
Cardiogenic Shock	0	0.0
Other Shock	2	0.1
Hemorrhage and Hematoma Complicating a Procedure	20	0.9
Foreign Body Accidentally Left or Accidental Laceration During a Procedure	0	0.0
Dehiscence and Rupture of Operation Wound	42	1.9
Other Complications of Surgical and Medical Care	128	5.6
Nervous System Complication	2	0.1
Circulatory System Complication	64	2.8
Respiratory System Complication	49	2.2
Digestive System Complication	7	0.3
Urinary System Complication	2	0.1

DATA TABLES

TABLE 3. 30-DAY READMISSION DATA

N = 2,270

	#	%
Other Complications	4	0.2
INFECTIONS	463	20.4
Postoperative Infections	162	7.1
Sepsis and Bacteremia	123	5.4
Pneumonia	86	3.8
Pneumonia	74	3.3
Aspiration Pneumonia	12	0.5
Empyema and Abscess of Lung	4	0.2
Infection due to Device, Implant and Graft	8	0.4
Cardiac Device, Implant and Graft	7	0.3
Vascular Device, Implant and Graft	1	<0.1
Other and Unspecified Infections due to Device, Implant and Graft	0	0.0
Urinary Tract Infection	34	1.5
Cellulitis	20	0.9
Osteomyelitis	0	0.0
Intestinal Infection due to Clostridium difficile	17	0.7
Other Infection Related Conditions and Symptoms	9	0.4
FLUID AND ELECTROLYTE IMBALANCE	26	1.1
Hyperosmolality and Hyposmolality	7	0.3
Acidosis and Alkalosis	0	0.0
Dehydration and Hypovolemia	8	0.4
Fluid Overload	7	0.3
Hyperpotassemia and Hypopotassemia	4	0.2
Other Electrolyte and Fluid Disorders	0	0.0
ANEMIA AND COAGULATION DEFECTS	35	1.5
Anemia	23	1.0
Acute Posthemorrhagic Anemia	11	0.5
Anemia	12	0.5
Coagulation Defects	12	0.5
Thrombocytopenia	0	0.0
Other Coagulation Defects	12	0.5

DATA TABLES

TABLE 4. IN-HOSPITAL MORTALITY MODEL

The c-statistic for the model is 0.81871.

Predictor	Coefficient	Odds Ratio ¹	p-value
Intercept	-6.8555		
Procedure Group Factor			
Procedure Group			<0.0001
Valve without CABG	0.5596	1.750	
Valve with CABG	0.9592	2.610	
Demographic Factors			
Age (continuous)	0.0133	1.142	0.1987
Age – Number of Years >65 (continuous)	0.0275	1.147	0.0738
Race/Ethnicity			0.0906
Black non-Hispanic	0.0921	1.096	
Other non-Hispanic	0.3782	1.460	
Sex			<0.0001
Female	0.3986	1.490	
Clinically Derived Factors			
American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Class 5	0.8732	2.395	0.0023
ASA Emergency Indicator	0.6917	1.997	<0.0001
Ejection Fraction			0.0002
<20%	0.8074	2.242	
20 to 39%	0.3008	1.351	
Circumflex (and branches) – Number of Percentage Points >49% (continuous)	0.0026	1.026	0.2963
Left Anterior Descending (and branches) – Number of Percentage Points >49% (continuous)	0.0066	1.068	0.0172
Left Main Stenosis (continuous)	0.0068	1.070	<0.0001
Preoperative Acute Renal Failure	0.6633	1.941	0.0030
Preoperative Cardiogenic Shock	1.1874	3.279	<0.0001
Glucose ≥166 mg/dL	0.3631	1.438	0.0006
PT ≥13.1 sec / INR ≥1.11 (ratio) ²	0.2390	1.270	0.0347
Diagnosis or Procedure Code-Based Factors			
Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI) as Principal Diagnosis	0.2576	1.294	0.0249
Angioplasty and Stenting of Coronary Artery Prior to First CABG/Valve Surgery Date	1.2686	3.556	<0.0001
Chronic Kidney Disease			<0.0001
CKD Stage 5 and ESRD	1.5092	4.523	
CKD Stage 1 to 4	0.2722	1.313	
Chronic Liver Disease	0.8066	2.240	<0.0001
Heart Failure	0.4787	1.614	<0.0001
Malnutrition	0.7713	2.163	<0.0001
Oxygen Therapy Dependence (long-term)	1.1808	3.257	<0.0001
Valve Procedure - Multiple, Same Day as First CABG/Valve Surgery	0.5830	1.791	<0.0001

¹ Odds ratios for "Age", "Circumflex (& branches) – Number of Percentage Points >49% (continuous)", "Left Anterior Descending (and branches) – Number of Percentage Points >49% (continuous)" and "Left Main Stenosis (continuous)" are calculated at units of 10 and odds ratios for "Age – Number of Years > 65" is calculated at units of 5. Using "Left Main Stenosis (continuous)" as an example, the odds for in-hospital mortality are about 7% higher for patients with 20% left main stenosis than for patients with 10% left main stenosis, when the other variables in the model are controlled.

² The PT and INR analytes were combined to one factor. If a record had both test results, then the factor was based on the INR.

DATA TABLES

TABLE 5. 30-DAY READMISSION MODEL

The c-statistic for the model is 0.64513.

Predictor	Coefficient	Odds Ratio ¹	p-value
Intercept	-1.9699		
Procedure Group Factor			
Procedure Group			0.0318
Valve without CABG	0.1158	1.123	
Valve with CABG	0.1567	1.170	
Demographic Factors			
Age (continuous)	-0.0039	0.961	0.4070
Age – Number of Years >65 (continuous)	0.0271	1.145	0.0003
Race/Ethnicity			
Black or Hispanic	0.1078	1.114	0.2360
Sex			
Female	0.0963	1.101	0.0538
Education Level – High School Diploma or Higher (continuous)	-0.0075	0.927	0.0399
Clinically Derived Factors			
American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Class 4 or 5	0.1734	1.189	0.0023
Ejection Fraction <50%	0.0371	1.038	0.4932
Preoperative Acute Renal Failure	0.2180	1.244	0.2568
BNP ≥101 pg/mL / ProBNP ≥1001 pg/mL ²	0.2204	1.247	0.0061
Hemoglobin 0 to <11.1 g/dL	0.2141	1.239	0.0082
Diagnosis or Procedure Code-Based Factors			
Atrial Fibrillation and Flutter on Admission	0.2857	1.331	<0.0001
Chronic Kidney Disease			<0.0001
CKD Stage 5 and ESRD	0.7131	2.040	
CKD Stage 1 to 4	0.1863	1.205	
Chronic Liver Disease	0.3509	1.420	0.0062
Chronic Lung Disease	0.2556	1.291	<0.0001
Heart Failure	0.2722	1.313	<0.0001
Malnutrition	0.4805	1.617	<0.0001
Mental Disorders	0.2113	1.235	0.0003
Morbid Obesity	0.4031	1.496	<0.0001
Peripheral Vascular Disease	0.2157	1.241	0.0003
Valve Procedure - Multiple, Same Day as First CABG/Valve Surgery	0.2730	1.314	0.0019

¹ Odds ratios for “Age” and “Education Level – High School Diploma or Higher” are calculated at units of 10 and odds ratios for “Age – Number of Years > 65” is calculated at units of 5. Using “Education Level – High School Diploma or Higher” as an example, when given two records with all other risk factors identical, if the first record is from a zip code where 10% more of the population had a high school diploma as compared to the zip code of the second record, the model will assign about 7% lower odds for 30-day readmission to the first record.

² The BNP and pro-BNP analytes were combined to one factor. If a record had both test results, then the factor was based on the BNP.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A. CLINICALLY COMPLEX EXCLUSION DEFINITIONS

CLINICALLY COMPLEX EXCLUSIONS

ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM/PCS Diagnosis and Procedure Codes

Diagnosis and procedure codes that define clinically complex exclusions are available at <http://www.phc4.org/reports/cabg/16/data/CardiacSurgeryReport2014-2016ClinicallyComplexExclusions.xlsx>.

MS-DRG Criteria for Study Population Definition

MS-DRGs Not Excluded from the Study: CABG without Valve	
MS-DRG 001	Heart Transplant or Implant of Heart Assist System with MCC
MS-DRG 002	Heart Transplant or Implant of Heart Assist System without MCC
MS-DRG 003 and MDC 5*	ECMO or Tracheostomy with Mechanical Ventilation >96 Hours or Principal Diagnosis Except Face, Mouth, Neck with Major O.R. Procedures
MS-DRG 215	Other Heart Assist System Implant
MS-DRG 216	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures with Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
MS-DRG 217	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures with Cardiac Catheterization with CC
MS-DRG 218	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures with Cardiac Catheterization without CC/MCC
MS-DRG 219	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures without Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
MS-DRG 220	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures without Cardiac Catheterization with CC
MS-DRG 221	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures without Cardiac Catheterization without CC/MCC
MS-DRG 222	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant with Cardiac Catheterization with Acute MI/Heart Failure/Shock with MCC
MS-DRG 223	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant with Cardiac Catheterization with Acute MI/Heart Failure/Shock without MCC
MS-DRG 224	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant with Cardiac Catheterization without Acute MI/Heart Failure/Shock with MCC
MS-DRG 225	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant with Cardiac Catheterization without Acute MI/Heart Failure/Shock without MCC
MS-DRG 226	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant without Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
MS-DRG 227	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant without Cardiac Catheterization without MCC
MS-DRG 228	Other Cardiothoracic Procedures with MCC
MS-DRG 229	Other Cardiothoracic Procedures with CC
MS-DRG 230	Other Cardiothoracic Procedures without CC/MCC
MS-DRG 231	Coronary Bypass with PTCA with MCC
MS-DRG 232	Coronary Bypass with PTCA without MCC
MS-DRG 233	Coronary Bypass with Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
MS-DRG 234	Coronary Bypass with Cardiac Catheterization without MCC
MS-DRG 235	Coronary Bypass without Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
MS-DRG 236	Coronary Bypass without Cardiac Catheterization without MCC
MS-DRGs Not Excluded from the Study: Valve without CABG	
MS-DRG 001	Heart Transplant or Implant of Heart Assist System with MCC
MS-DRG 002	Heart Transplant or Implant of Heart Assist System without MCC
MS-DRG 003 and MDC 5*	ECMO or Tracheostomy with Mechanical Ventilation >96 Hours or Principal Diagnosis Except Face, Mouth, Neck with Major O.R. Procedures
MS-DRG 215	Other Heart Assist System Implant
MS-DRG 216	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures with Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
MS-DRG 217	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures with Cardiac Catheterization with CC
MS-DRG 218	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures with Cardiac Catheterization without CC/MCC
MS-DRG 219	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures without Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
MS-DRG 220	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures without Cardiac Catheterization with CC
MS-DRG 221	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures without Cardiac Catheterization without CC/MCC
MS-DRG 222	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant with Cardiac Catheterization with Acute MI/Heart Failure/Shock with MCC
MS-DRG 223	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant with Cardiac Catheterization with Acute MI/Heart Failure/Shock without MCC

APPENDIX A. CLINICALLY COMPLEX EXCLUSION DEFINITIONS (CONTINUED)

MS-DRG Criteria for Study Population Definition <i>continued</i>	
MS-DRG 224	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant with Cardiac Catheterization without Acute MI/Heart Failure/Shock with MCC
MS-DRG 225	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant with Cardiac Catheterization without Acute MI/Heart Failure/Shock without MCC
MS-DRG 226	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant without Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
MS-DRG 227	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant without Cardiac Catheterization without MCC
MS-DRG 228	Other Cardiothoracic Procedures with MCC
MS-DRG 229	Other Cardiothoracic Procedures with CC
MS-DRG 230	Other Cardiothoracic Procedures without CC/MCC
MS-DRGs Not Excluded from the Study: Valve with CABG	
MS-DRG 001	Heart Transplant or Implant of Heart Assist System with MCC
MS-DRG 002	Heart Transplant or Implant of Heart Assist System without MCC
MS-DRG 003 and MDC 5*	ECMO or Tracheostomy with Mechanical Ventilation >96 Hours or Principal Diagnosis Except Face, Mouth, Neck with Major O.R. Procedures
MS-DRG 215	Other Heart Assist System Implant
MS-DRG 216	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures with Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
MS-DRG 217	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures with Cardiac Catheterization with CC
MS-DRG 218	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures with Cardiac Catheterization without CC/MCC
MS-DRG 219	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures without Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
MS-DRG 220	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures without Cardiac Catheterization with CC
MS-DRG 221	Cardiac Valve and Other Major Cardiothoracic Procedures without Cardiac Catheterization without CC/MCC
MS-DRG 222	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant with Cardiac Catheterization with Acute MI/Heart Failure/Shock with MCC
MS-DRG 223	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant with Cardiac Catheterization with Acute MI/Heart Failure/Shock without MCC
MS-DRG 224	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant with Cardiac Catheterization without Acute MI/Heart Failure/Shock with MCC
MS-DRG 225	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant with Cardiac Catheterization without Acute MI/Heart Failure/Shock without MCC
MS-DRG 226	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant without Cardiac Catheterization with MCC
MS-DRG 227	Cardiac Defibrillator Implant without Cardiac Catheterization without MCC
MS-DRG 228	Other Cardiothoracic Procedures with MCC
MS-DRG 229	Other Cardiothoracic Procedures with CC
MS-DRG 230	Other Cardiothoracic Procedures without CC/MCC

* Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 5: Diseases and Disorders of the Circulatory System

APPENDIX B. ICD-9-CM DEFINITIONS FOR REASONS FOR READMISSION

A readmission was counted only if the patient was readmitted with a principal diagnosis (i.e., the reason for the readmission) that indicated a heart-related condition, an infection or a complication.

CIRCULATORY SYSTEM

Cardiac Dysrhythmias

Heart Block

426.0, 426.10, 426.11, 426.12, 426.13, 426.2, 426.3, 426.4, 426.50, 426.51, 426.52, 426.53, 426.54, 426.6, 426.7, 426.81, 426.82, 426.89, 426.9

Paroxysmal Tachycardia

427.0, 427.1, 427.2

Atrial Fibrillation and Atrial Flutter

427.31, 427.32

Ventricular Fibrillation and Ventricular Flutter

427.41, 427.42, 427.5

Premature Heart Beats

427.60, 427.61, 427.69

Other Cardiac Dysrhythmias

427.81, 427.89, 427.9

Heart Failure

398.91, 402.01, 402.11, 402.91, 404.01, 404.03, 404.11, 404.13, 404.91, 404.93, 428.0, 428.1, 428.20, 428.21, 428.22, 428.23, 428.30, 428.31, 428.32, 428.33, 428.40, 428.41, 428.42, 428.43, 428.9

Functional Disturbances Follow Cardiac Surgery (Postcardiotomy Syndrome)

429.4

Hypertension and Hypotension

Hypertension

401.0, 401.1, 401.9, 402.00, 402.10, 402.90, 405.01, 405.09, 405.11, 405.19, 405.91, 405.99, 997.91

Hypotension

458.0, 458.21, 458.29, 458.8, 458.9, 796.3

Myocardial Infarction and Ischemia

Acute Myocardial Infarction, Initial Episode

410.01, 410.11, 410.21, 410.31, 410.41, 410.51, 410.61, 410.71, 410.81, 410.91

Acute Myocardial Infarction, Unspecified or Subsequent Episode

410.00, 410.02, 410.10, 410.12, 410.20, 410.22, 410.30, 410.32, 410.40, 410.42, 410.50, 410.52, 410.60, 410.62, 410.70, 410.72, 410.80, 410.82, 410.90, 410.92

Other Forms of Myocardial Ischemia

411.0, 411.81, 411.89, 429.79

Angina Pectoris and Chest Pain

411.1, 413.0, 413.1, 413.9, 786.50, 786.51, 786.59

Atherosclerosis

Coronary Atherosclerosis

414.00, 414.01, 414.02, 414.03, 414.04, 414.05, 414.06, 414.07, 414.2, 414.3, 414.4

Other Atherosclerosis

429.2, 440.0, 440.1, 440.20, 440.21, 440.22, 440.23, 440.24, 440.29, 440.30, 440.31, 440.32, 440.8, 440.9

Heart Aneurysm and Dissection

414.10, 414.11, 414.12, 414.19

Endocarditis, Myocarditis and Pericarditis

112.81, 397.9, 398.0, 420.90, 420.91, 420.99, 421.0, 421.9, 422.90, 422.91, 422.92, 422.93, 422.99, 423.1, 423.2, 423.3, 423.8, 423.9, 424.90, 424.99, 429.0, 429.1

Heart Valve Disease

Mitral Valve Disease

394.0, 394.1, 394.2, 394.9, 424.0

Aortic Valve Disease

395.0, 395.1, 395.2, 395.9, 424.1

Tricuspid Valve Disease

397.0, 424.2

Pulmonary Valve Disease

397.1, 424.3

APPENDIX B. ICD-9-CM DEFINITIONS FOR REASONS FOR READMISSION (CONTINUED)

Multiple Valve Disease

396.0, 396.1, 396.2, 396.3, 396.8, 396.9

Other Endocardial Structure Disease

429.5, 429.6, 429.71, 429.81

Cardiomyopathies

425.0, 425.11, 425.18, 425.3, 425.4, 425.9

Other Aneurysm and Dissection

Aortic Aneurysm and Dissection

441.00, 441.01, 441.02, 441.03, 441.1, 441.2, 441.3, 441.4, 441.5, 441.6, 441.7, 441.9

Other Arterial Aneurysm

437.3, 442.0, 442.1, 442.2, 442.3, 442.81, 442.82, 442.83, 442.84, 442.89, 442.9

Other Arterial Dissection

443.21, 443.22, 443.23, 443.24, 443.29

Arterial Embolism and Thrombosis

Abdominal and Thoracic Aorta

444.01, 444.09, 444.1

Arteries of the Extremities

444.21, 444.22, 445.01, 445.02

Other Arteries Excluding Precerebral and Cerebral Arteries

444.81, 444.89, 444.9, 445.81, 445.89, 449, 593.81

Venous Embolism and Thrombosis

Lower Extremity Venous Embolism and Thrombosis

453.40, 453.41, 453.42

Renal Vein Embolism and Thrombosis

453.3

Other Venous Embolism and Thrombosis

453.2, 453.6, 453.81, 453.82, 453.83, 453.84, 453.85, 453.86, 453.87, 453.89, 453.9

Phlebitis and Thrombophlebitis

Lower Extremity Phlebitis and Thrombophlebitis

451.0, 451.11, 451.19, 451.2

Upper Extremity Phlebitis and Thrombophlebitis

451.82, 451.83, 451.84

Other Vessel Phlebitis and Thrombophlebitis

451.81, 451.89, 451.9

Occlusion and Stenosis

Precerebral Artery Occlusion and Stenosis

433.00, 433.20, 433.30, 433.80, 433.90

Cerebral Artery Occlusion and Stenosis

433.10, 434.00, 434.10, 434.90

Retinal Artery Occlusion and Visual Loss

362.30, 362.31, 362.32, 362.33, 362.34, 362.35, 362.36, 362.37, 368.11, 368.12, 368.40

Stroke

Ischemic Stroke

433.01, 433.11, 433.21, 433.31, 433.81, 433.91, 434.01, 434.11, 434.91

Hemorrhagic Stroke

430, 431, 432.0, 432.1, 432.9

Transient Cerebral Ischemia

435.0, 435.1, 435.2, 435.3, 435.8, 435.9

Postoperative Stroke

997.02

Other Diseases and Symptoms of the Circulatory System

398.90, 398.99, 414.8, 414.9, 423.0, 429.3, 429.82, 429.89, 429.9, 785.0, 785.1, 785.3, V533.1, V533.2, V533.9

RESPIRATORY SYSTEM

Pulmonary Embolism and Infarction

Pulmonary Embolism and Infarction

415.0, 415.12, 415.13, 415.19

APPENDIX B. ICD-9-CM DEFINITIONS FOR REASONS FOR READMISSION (CONTINUED)

Postoperative Pulmonary Embolism and Infarction

415.11

Pleural Effusion and Atelectasis

511.0, 511.89, 511.9, 518.0

Pneumothorax

Pneumothorax

512.0, 512.81, 512.82, 512.84, 512.89

Postoperative Pneumothorax

512.1, 512.2

Pulmonary Edema

514, 518.4, 518.52

Acute Respiratory Failure

518.51, 518.53, 518.81, 518.82, 518.84, 799.1

Other Diseases and Symptoms of the Respiratory System

518.1, 519.19, 519.2, 733.6, 786.00, 786.02, 786.04, 786.05, 786.06, 786.09, 786.30, 786.39, 786.52, 786.6, 786.7, 786.8, 786.9, 799.02, 998.81

NERVOUS SYSTEM

Encephalopathies

348.30, 348.31, 348.39, 349.82, 437.2

Cerebral Edema and Brain Compression

348.4, 348.5

Anoxic Brain Damage

348.1

Coma and Stupor

780.01, 780.03, 780.09

Postoperative Pain

338.12, 338.18

Other Diseases and Symptoms of the Nervous System

336.1, 436, 780.2, 780.4, 780.97

DIGESTIVE SYSTEM

Ischemic Bowel and Vascular Insufficiency of the Intestine

557.0, 557.9

Intestinal Obstruction and Ileus

560.81, 560.89, 560.9

Ulceration, Bleeding and Perforation of the Digestive System

528.00, 528.02, 528.09, 530.10, 530.12, 530.20, 530.21, 530.82, 531.01, 531.10, 531.11, 531.20, 531.21, 531.30, 531.31, 531.40, 531.41, 531.50, 531.51, 531.60, 531.61, 531.70, 531.71, 531.90, 531.91, 532.00, 532.01, 532.10, 532.11, 532.20, 532.21, 532.30, 532.31, 532.40, 532.41, 532.50, 532.51, 532.60, 532.61, 532.70, 532.71, 532.90, 532.91, 533.00, 533.01, 533.10, 533.11, 533.20, 533.21, 533.30, 533.31, 533.40, 533.41, 533.50, 533.51, 533.60, 533.61, 533.70, 533.71, 533.90, 533.91, 534.00, 534.01, 534.10, 534.11, 534.20, 534.21, 534.30, 534.31, 534.40, 534.41, 534.50, 534.51, 534.60, 534.61, 534.70, 534.71, 534.90, 534.91, 535.00, 535.01, 535.40, 535.41, 535.50, 535.51, 535.60, 535.61, 569.3, 569.82, 569.83, 578.9

Acute Liver Failure

570, 572.2

Other Diseases and Symptoms of the Digestive System

560.30, 560.32, 560.39, 568.81, 577.0, 578.0, 578.1

URINARY SYSTEM

Acute Glomerulonephritis and Pyelonephritis

580.0, 580.4, 580.89, 580.9, 590.10, 590.11, 590.80

Nephrotic Syndrome

581.0, 581.1, 581.2, 581.3, 581.89, 581.9

Acute Renal Failure

584.5, 584.6, 584.7, 584.8, 584.9

Other Diseases and Symptoms of the Urinary System

593.9, 599.70, 599.71, 599.72, 788.20, 788.29

COMPLICATIONS OF SURGICAL AND MEDICAL CARE

Mechanical Complication of Cardiac Device, Implant and Graft

Mechanical Complication of Cardiac Pacemaker and AICD

996.00, 996.01, 996.04

APPENDIX B. ICD-9-CM DEFINITIONS FOR REASONS FOR READMISSION (CONTINUED)

Mechanical Complication of Heart Valve Prosthesis

996.02

Mechanical Complication of Coronary Artery Bypass Graft

996.03

Other and Unspecified Mechanical Complication

996.09, 996.1

Other Complication of Internal Prosthetic Device, Implant and Graft

Other Complication of Heart Valve Prosthesis

996.71

Other Complication of Other Cardiac Device, Implant and Graft

996.72

Other Complication of Vascular Device, Implant and Graft

996.74

Shock

Postoperative Shock

998.00, 998.01, 998.02, 998.09

Cardiogenic Shock

785.51

Other Shock

785.50, 785.59

Hemorrhage and Hematoma Complicating a Procedure

459.0, 998.11, 998.12, 998.13

Foreign Body Accidentally Left or Accidental Laceration During a Procedure

998.2, 998.4, 998.7

Dehiscence and Rupture of Operation Wound

998.31, 998.32, 998.6, 998.83

Other Complications of Surgical and Medical Care

Nervous System Complication

997.00, 997.01, 997.09

Circulatory System Complication

997.1, 997.2, 997.71, 997.72, 997.79, 999.1, 999.2

Respiratory System Complication

519.00, 519.02, 519.09, 997.39

Digestive System Complication

536.40, 536.42, 536.49, 997.49

Urinary System Complication

997.5

Other Complications

998.89, 998.9, 999.60, 999.61, 999.62, 999.63, 999.69, 999.70, 999.71, 999.72, 999.73, 999.74, 999.75, 999.76, 999.77, 999.78, 999.79, 999.80, 999.82, 999.83, 999.84, 999.85, 999.88, 999.89

INFECTIONS

Postoperative Infections

997.31, 998.51, 998.59, 999.31, 999.32, 999.33, 999.34, 999.39

Sepsis and Bacteremia

038.0, 038.10, 038.11, 038.12, 038.19, 038.2, 038.3, 038.4, 038.41, 038.42, 038.43, 038.44, 038.49, 038.8, 038.9, 785.52, 790.7, 995.90, 995.91, 995.92

Pneumonia

Pneumonia

481, 482.0, 482.1, 482.2, 482.30, 482.31, 482.32, 482.39, 482.40, 482.41, 482.42, 482.49, 482.81, 482.82, 482.83, 482.84, 482.89, 482.9, 485, 486, 511.1

Aspiration Pneumonia

507.0, 997.32

Empyema and Abscess of Lung

510.0, 510.9, 513.0, 513.1

Infection due to Device, Implant and Graft

Cardiac Device, Implant and Graft

996.61

Vascular Device, Implant and Graft

996.62

APPENDIX B. ICD-9-CM DEFINITIONS FOR REASONS FOR READMISSION (CONTINUED)

Other and Unspecified Infections due to Device, Implant and Graft

519.01, 536.41

Urinary Tract Infection

590.3, 590.9, 595.0, 599.0, 996.64

Cellulitis

681.00, 681.01, 681.02, 681.10, 681.11, 681.9, 682.0, 682.1, 682.2, 682.3, 682.4, 682.5, 682.6, 682.7, 682.8, 682.9

Osteomyelitis

730.03, 730.06, 730.07, 730.08, 730.09

Intestinal Infection due to Clostridium difficile

008.45

Other Infection Related Conditions and Symptoms

567.21, 567.29, 567.9, 590.2, 780.60, 780.61, 780.62, 780.66

FLUID AND ELECTROLYTE IMBALANCE

Hyperosmolality and Hyposmolality

276.0, 276.1

Acidosis and Alkalosis

276.2, 276.3, 276.4

Dehydration and Hypovolemia

276.50, 276.51, 276.52

Fluid Overload

276.61, 276.69

Hyperpotassemia and Hypopotassemia

276.7, 276.8

Other Electrolyte and Fluid Disorders

276.9

ANEMIA AND COAGULATION DEFECTS

Anemia

Acute Posthemorrhagic Anemia

285.1

Anemia

280.0, 285.9

Coagulation Defects

Thrombocytopenia

287.41, 287.49, 287.5, 289.84, 446.6

Other Coagulation Defects

286.6, 286.9, 289.82, 790.92

APPENDIX C. POTENTIAL CODE-BASED RISK FACTORS

See complete definition of ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM/PCS codes at

<http://www.phc4.org/reports/cabg/16/data/CardiacSurgeryReport2014-2016RiskFactorsICD-9-ICD-10Dataset.xlsx>.

POTENTIAL CODE-BASED RISK FACTORS	
Acidosis and Alkalosis on Admission	Heart Valve Disease
Acidosis on Admission	Heart Valve Disease, Rheumatic
Acute Liver Failure on Admission	History of CABG and Valve Surgery
Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI) as Principal Diagnosis	History of Cancer
Acute Respiratory Failure on Admission	History of Coronary Artery Angioplasty and Stent
Alcohol-related Disorders	History of Defibrillator and Pacemaker
Alkalosis on Admission	History of Long-term Insulin Use
Anemia	History of Long-term Steroid Use
Angina	History of Long-term Use of Anticoagulants and Antiplatelets
Angina, Unstable	History of Lower Extremity Amputation
Angioplasty and Stenting of Coronary Artery Prior to First CABG/Valve Surgery Date	History of Non-Compliance with Medical Treatment
Angioplasty and Stenting of Coronary Artery Same Day as First CABG/Valve Surgery	History of Stroke
Asthma	History of Thrombosis and Embolism
Atrial Fibrillation and Flutter on Admission	Hypercholesterolemia
Cancer	Hypertension
Cardiac Adhesions	Hypoxemia on Admission
Cardiac Arrest on Admission	Immunity Disorders
Cardiomyopathy	Intra-aortic Balloon Pump (IABP) Prior to First CABG/Valve Surgery Date
Cerebrovascular Disease	Ischemic Heart Disease
Chronic Kidney Disease	Lupus Erythematosus - Systemic
Chronic Liver Disease	Malnutrition
Chronic Lung Disease	Mechanical Circulatory Support Device Prior to First CABG/Valve Surgery Date
Chronic Pulmonary Hypertension	Mental Disorders
Coagulopathy	Myocardial Infarction, Old
Congenital Heart Anomalies	Nicotine Dependence
Coronary Artery Disease of Native Vessels	Non-Invasive Mechanical Ventilation (NIMV) Prior to First CABG/Valve Surgery Date
Crohn's Disease and Regional Enteritis	Obesity
Dementia and Cerebral Degeneration	Obstructive Sleep Apnea
Dental Extraction Prior to First CABG/Valve Surgery Date	Osteoporosis
Destruction, Excision, and Occlusion of Left Atrial Appendage Same Day as First CABG/Valve Surgery	Oxygen Therapy Dependence (long-term)
Destruction of Other Heart Lesion (Maze) Same Day as First CABG/Valve Surgery	Percutaneous and Transapical Valve Procedures Prior to First CABG/Valve Surgery Date
Diabetes	Percutaneous and Transapical Valve Procedures Same Day as First CABG/Valve Surgery
Drug-related Disorders	Peripheral Vascular Disease
Electrolyte Imbalance on Admission	Type of Valve Procedure - Annuloplasty, Same Day as First CABG/Valve Surgery
Encephalopathy on Admission	Type of Valve Procedure - Aortic Valve, Same Day as First CABG/Valve Surgery
Environmental and Economic Factors	Type of Valve Procedure - Mitral Valve, Same Day as First CABG/Valve Surgery
Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation (ECMO) Prior to First CABG/Valve Surgery Date	Type of Valve Procedure - Pulmonary Valve, Same Day as First CABG/Valve Surgery
Gastroparesis	Type of Valve Procedure - Tricuspid Valve, Same Day as First CABG/Valve Surgery
Gout	Valve Procedure - Multiple, Same Day as First CABG/Valve Surgery
Heart Failure	Valve Procedure - Replacement Same Day as First CABG/Valve Surgery

APPENDIX D. EXAMPLE OF LOGISTIC REGRESSION AND CALCULATING STATISTICAL RATINGS

Total Cases:	Number of hospitalizations after exclusions.
Actual Deaths:	Total number of deaths (death is a discharge status equal to 20).
Rate:	Total number of deaths / Total number of cases.
Expected Deaths:	Sum of each patient's probability of death (PD).
Rate:	Sum of each patient's probability of death (PD) / Total number of cases.
	To calculate a patient's probability of death:
	Step 1: Calculate βX :
	$\beta X = -6.8555 + 0.9592 \text{ (Valve with CABG)} + 0.0133 \text{ (Age)} + \dots + 1.1874 \text{ (Preoperative Cardiac Shock)} + \dots + 0.8066 \text{ (Chronic Liver Disease)} + \text{coefficient (other variables in in-hospital mortality model)} \dots$
	Step 2: Calculate the estimated probability of death (PD) using βX :
	$PD = e^{\beta X} / (1 + e^{\beta X}) \text{ where } e \approx 2.7182818285$
Test Statistic:	$z = (\text{Actual Deaths} - \text{Expected Deaths}) / \text{Standard Deviation of Mortality}$
	To compute Standard Deviation of Mortality:
	Step 1: Compute the estimated variance of each patient's probability of death (VARPAT):
	$\text{VARPAT} = (PD) (1-PD)$
	Step 2: Calculate the Standard Deviation of Mortality
	$\text{SUMVAR} = \text{sum of VARPAT across all cases}$
	$\text{Standard Deviation of Mortality} = \text{square root of SUMVAR}$
p-value: (two sided)	Calculated using test statistic as a normal z-score
Statistical Rating:	If p-value <0.05 and test statistic >0, then more deaths than expected (denoted as "●") If p-value <0.05 and test statistic <0, then fewer deaths than expected (denoted as "○") Otherwise, the number of deaths were within the expected range (denoted as "⊙")
Expected Range:	Lower limit = Expected Deaths – 1.960 (Standard Deviation of Mortality) Upper limit = Expected Deaths + 1.960 (Standard Deviation of Mortality)

APPENDIX E. EXAMPLE OF CASE-MIX ADJUSTMENT

**Region 1: Southwestern PA
Procedure Group: Valve without CABG**

Total Cases: Number of hospitalizations for a hospital after exclusions (equal to n).

Actual Charge: Average actual charges for a hospital (Average ActChg).

Expected Charge: Average expected charges for a hospital (Average ExpChg).

Step 1: Calculate each hospitalization's expected charge (ExpChg):

ExpChg = the expected charge for a hospitalization, which is equal to the average charge for all hospitalizations (after exclusion) in the hospital's same region and MS-DRG group within the procedure group and timeframe.

Region 1 - Southwestern PA, valve without CABG, DRG Group 5, Timeframe A¹: \$281,363
 or
 Region 1 - Southwestern PA, valve without CABG, DRG Group 6, Timeframe A¹: \$198,818
 or
 Region 1 - Southwestern PA, valve without CABG, DRG Group 5, Timeframe B²: \$233,750
 or
 Region 1 - Southwestern PA, valve without CABG, DRG Group 6, Timeframe B²: \$193,021

Step 2: Calculate the average ExpChg for a hospital (expected charge):

$$\text{Average ExpChg} = \frac{\sum \text{ExpChg}}{n}$$

Case-Mix Adjusted Charge: $\frac{\text{Average ActChg}}{\text{Average ExpChg}}$ (Region 1 Average Actual Charge)

¹ Timeframe A = Calendar year 2014
² Timeframe B = Calendar year 2015 and Quarter 1, 2016

